

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/894,828	GUTHRIE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Andy Ho	2194

All Participants:

(1) Andy Ho.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Rene A. Pereyra (Reg. No. 45,800).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 May 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 11 and 22

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



WILLIAM THOMSON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: During the communication, the examiner informed the applicant's representative of the status of the application in which the claims are allowed in the condition of amending claims 1, 11 and 22. The applicant's representative authorized the examiner to make the changes in an examiner's amendment.